Pharmacy Benefits Management Strategic Healthcare Group Medical Advisory Panel Drug Class Review: Intranasal Corticosteroids

This review was written by Cathy Kelley, Pharm.D. and by Drs. Donald Holleman and Barry Cusack.

Objectives

To review the efficacy, safety, and administration of currently available intranasal corticosteroids for the management of allergic rhinitis (AR).

Generic Name	Beclomethasone	Budesonide	Flunisolide	Fluticasone	Mometasone	Triamcinolone
Brand Name	Vancenase*	Rhinocort	Nasalide	Flonase	Nasonex	■Nasacort
	Vancenase AQ*	Rhinocort	Nasarel			■Nasacort AQ
	Beconase**	Aqua				□Tri-Nasal
	Beconase AQ**					Spray
Manufacturer	Schering-Plough*	Astra	Dura	GlaxoWellcome	Schering-	Rhone-
	GlaxoWellcome**				Plough	Poulenc Rorer
					-	Muro

Table 1: Currently Available Intranasal Corticosteroids

*Manufactured by Schering-Plough **Manufactured by GlaxoWellcome,
Manufactured by Rhone-Poulenc Rorer,
Manufactured by Muro Pharmaceuticals.

I. Introduction

Allergic rhinitis (AR) is a condition that affects up to 40 million Americans and is the sixth most prevalent chronic disease in the Unites States¹⁻². In 1995, it was estimated that the direct and indirect costs for the management of allergic rhinitis was 2.7 billion dollars excluding costs for accompanying asthma or sinusitis¹. In 1996, Ray et al, estimated the direct medical costs for AR, as a primary or secondary diagnosis, at 5.9 billion dollars accounting for related airway diseases³.

Allergic rhinitis is defined as inflammation of the nasal mucosa precipitated by exposure to inhaled allergens producing a specific immunologic response ^{1,2}. Usual symptoms occurring in response to those aeroallergens include sneezing, nasal pruritis and congestion, rhinorrhea, palatal pruritis, and itchy, red, watery eyes. In more severe circumstances, mucous membranes of the ears and paranasal sinuses can be involved producing symptoms of ear fullness and popping, itchy throat and pressure in the area above the cheeks and forehead. Fatigue, weakness and malaise can also be present. Patients with AR may be limited in their ability to perform daily activities and often note disturbances in sleep, work performance concentration, and quality of life. It is now considered crucial to optimally treat an individual with AR since chronic inflammation of the nasal mucosa and nasal obstruction, if left untreated, can lead to more serious conditions of the upper and lower airways including asthma, sinusitis, chronic otitis media with effusion and nasal or sinus polyps^{1,4}.

There are two types of AR: seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) and perennial allergic rhinitis (PAR). Seasonal AR is seasonal and is usually caused by pollens or molds. Perennial AR tends to be present for more than 9 months of the year and can be attributed to dust mites, molds, animal dander, or pollen in areas where high pollen counts are present for much of the year¹.

Intranasal steroids are considered first line therapy for the management of AR. Since AR is an inflammatory condition, it follows that it should be treated with anti-inflammatory medications. Two recent articles reviewed studies examining the effectiveness of intranasal steroids compared to second-generation antihistamines for the treatment of AR. Both reviews concluded, from the evidence, that intranasal steroids should be considered as first-line therapy for AR based on their superiority in decreasing nasal allergy symptoms compared to second-generation antihistamines^{5,6}.

II. **Pharmacology**

The exact mechanism of action of the corticosteroids for the management of symptoms of allergic rhinitis is not known. However, corticosteroids have a broad range of effects on the cells (mast cells, eosinophils, neutrophils, and lymphocytes) and mediators (histamine, eicosanoids, leukotrienes, and cytokines) of inflammation.

III. Indications

Table 2: FDA Approved Indications for Intranasal Corticosteroids⁷⁻¹²

Indication	Beclomethasone	Budesonide	Flunisolide	Fluticasone	Mometasone	Triamcinolone
SAR	X*	Х	X*	Х	X+	Х
PAR	X*	Х	X*	Х	Х	Х
Nasal	Prevention of					
polyps	recurrence after					
	surgery					
Nonallergic	X**	Х				
Rhinitis						
Pediatric	SAR and PAR 6	SAR and	SAR and	SAR and	SAR and	■SAR and PAR
SAR/PAR	years and older	PAR 6 years	PAR 6 years	PAR 4 years	PAR 3 years	6 years and older
		and older	and older	and older	and older	\Box SAR and PAR
						12 years or older

SAR-seasonal allergic rhinitis, PAR-perennial allergic rhinitis

* Nasalide, Beconase, Vancenase Pockethaler indicated when conventional therapy has failed.

** Beconase AO, Vancenase AO

+ Indicated for prophylaxis and treatment of nasal allergy symptoms, Rhone-Poulenc Rorer product, D Muro Product

IV. **Pharmacokinetics**

Table 3: Pharmacokinetic Properties of the Intranasal Corticosteroids						
Parameter	Beclomethasone	Budesonide	Flunisolide	Fluticasone	Mometasone	Triamcinolone
Systemic	17	20	20-50*	2 or less	< 0.1	22
Bioavailability						
(%)** †						
Onset of	Within a few	24 hours to	Within a	12 hours to	7 to 12 hours	12 hours to a
action***	days	a few days	few days	several days	to 2 days	few days
Metabolism	Lung and liver	Liver	Liver	Liver	Liver	Liver and
		(CYP3A)		(CYP3A4)		kidney

*Absorption of Nasarel was 25% less than Nasalide. 20% is absorbed from GI tract vs. 50% via the intranasal route.

**All available intranasal steroids undergo significant first-pass metabolism. + Bioavailability is based upon an oral dose. With nasal administration, the percent bioavailability is difficult to determine based upon multiple factors including small surface area of the nasal mucosa, mucocilliary clearance, portion of swallowed dose and technique of drug delivery.

***A response may be seen as soon as several hours, as stated above, to several days.

V. **Clinical Efficacy**

In seasonal and perennial allergic rhinitis, intranasal corticosteroids are useful in decreasing nasal congestion, itching, sneezing, and runny nose. In clinical trials, the beneficial effects of these agents are measured primarily by subjective reports from patients and clinicians using the total nasal symptom score (TNSS-nasal blockage, rhinorrhea, sneezing, and nasal itching) to assess mean percent improvement from baseline. Non-nasal symptoms (e.g. itchy/burning eyes, tearing/watery eyes, redness of eyes, itchy ears or palate) may also be assessed. The severity of symptoms (nasal and non-nasal) is graded on a scale of 0 to 3 (e.g. 0: no symptoms, 1: mild, 2: moderate, 3: severe symptoms). At the completion of most of these clinical trials, patients and or physicians are asked to provide their overall global assessment of efficacy based upon a 5-point scale (o=no relief, 1=slightly effective, 2=noticeably effective, 3=very effective, 4=totally effective).). In some studies, the total points assigned when adding together the TNSS and the non-nasal scores is referred to as the total symptom score (TSS)¹⁴. Although most studies utilize subjective improvements to measure efficacy, certain objective measurements such as presence of

inflammatory cells within the nasal mucosa, evaluation of nasal fluids, nasal patency, and evaluation of ability to recognize odors are being added to determine effectiveness¹⁵.

Table 4: Clinical Efficacy of the Intranasal Corticosteroids in Seasonal and Perennial Allergic Rhinitis (Abbreviation key: page 14)

ADE=adverse effects, AQ=aqueous, BDP=beclomethasone dipropionate, BUD=budesonide, DB=double-blind, FLU=flunisolide, FP=fluticasone propionate, MC=multicenter,, MF=mometasone furoate, O=open-label, PAR=perennial allergic rhinitis, PLA=placebo, PC=placebo-controlled, PE=physical exam, R=randomized, SAR=seasonal allergic rhinitis, SB=single-blind, sx=symptom, TAA=triamcinolone acetonide, VAS=visual analogue scale

Clinical Trial	Treatment	Results	Adverse			
	Groups		Events/comments			
	Boolomotha	sono (RDP) porosol vs. ogu				
Deciometitasone (DDI) acrosol vs. aqueous (AQ)						
<u> </u>						
Orgel, et al	BDP 84 mcg in	Nasal and ocular sx \downarrow	54 patients complained of burning, pressure			
	(22) (32) $(1-3)$	similarly in both groups.	of sheezing minediately after using the			
aerosol to BDP	(550 mcg/day)	Combined mean total sx	devices (6 pis-aqueous pump of 28 pis-			
aqueous in SAR	(BDP aqueous of	scores significantly \downarrow	metered dose aerosol). Adverse effects were			
DD D Devellel Devele	fallowed in 5	from baseline at day 4:	attributed to the device used.			
dummy	minutes by (RDP	Nasal: $p < 0.01$	84% of nationts preferred aqueous spray			
44 patients	arosol or aerosol	Ocular: p<0.05 and	11% preferred aerosal spray			
3 weeks	DI A) Each nationt	continued to decrease	1170 preference			
(Glavo)	received delivery of	Dy day 15.	470 had no preference			
(Glaxo)	active drug or PLA	BDP=BDP AQ				
	by each device					
	by cach device.					
Dunn, et al ¹⁷	BDP 200 mcg bid	No significant	Nose bleeding was reported in 3 of the			
Compared BDP	(400 mcg/day)	differences were noted	aerosol versus none of the aqueous treated			
aerosol to BDP	(Each patient	for any nasal or non-	patients.			
aqueous in SAR	received active	nasal symptom between				
	aerosol and PLA	groups. Physician's	Stinging was reported by 11 patients			
DB,R,Parallel, Double-	aqueous or PLA	assessment of symptom	receiving the aqueous versus none of the			
dummy	aerosol and active	control was rated as	aerosol treated patients ($p < 0.05$).			
40 patients	aqueous)	very effective or good				
2 weeks		in at least 70% of				
(Glaxo)		patients in each group.				
		BDP=BDP AQ				

Beclomethasone (BDP) BID vs. QD					
Prenner, et al ¹⁸ Compared aqueous BDP-rs regular strength (42 mcg/spray) bid to BDP- ds double strength (84 mcg/spray) qd in SAR. MC, DB, R, PC, Parallel 438 patients 4 weeks (Schering-Plough)	-BDP 42 mcg/spray 2 sprays in each nostril bid (336 mcg/day) (n=111) -BDP 84 mcg/spray 2 sprays in each nostril qam (336 mcg/day) and PLA q pm (n=107) - PLA 2 sprays in each nostril bid (n=111). -BDP-hs high strength 336 mcg/spray 2 sprays in each nostril qam (1344 mcg/day) and PLA qpm was included for safety comparisons.	TNSS \downarrow in all 3 active treatment groups compared to PLA (p<0.03). BDP-rs and BDP-ds were not different from each other at any time. TSS \downarrow in all 3 active treatment groups compared to PLA (p<0.05) except for BDP-rs on day 29. BDP-rs and BDP-ds did not differ from each other at any time. Response to treatment was rated as good or excellent in a larger percent of the 3 active groups compared to PLA (p<0.01). BDP-rs bid=BDP-ds	There was no difference with regard to ADE noted in any of the 4 treatment groups. Overall, use of rescue medication (chlorpheniramine 4 mg) was less in all 3 active treatment groups compared to PLA On days 3 and 8 BDP-hs was more effective than BDP-ds and more effective than BDP- rs on day 8. Authors noted that linear trend analysis demonstrated significant linear trend among the 4treatment groups indicating a treatment dose response (PLA, BDP-rs, BDP-ds, BDP-hs).		
	Beclometh	asone (BDP) vs. Budesoni	de (BUD)		
McArthur JG ¹⁹ Compared BDP aqueous to BUD aqueous in SAR. SB, R, Parallel 88 patients 3 weeks (Astra)	-BDP 2 sprays in each nostril bid (400 mcg/d) (n=38). -BUD 2 sprays in each nostril bid (400 mcg/d) (n=50).	BUD ↓ nasal symptoms of sneezing, runny and itchy nose more than BDP (p 0.0001-0.01) Symptom of blocked nose was not different between groups. Overall patient evaluation of response was noticeably, very or totally effective in 82% of BDP vs. 85% of BUD subjects. (no p value given) BUD>BDP for sneezing, itchy and runny nose, but not blocked nose.	 25% (22/88) of patients withdrew from the study (21% BDP vs. 28% BUD). Primary reason for withdrawal: lack of efficacy and refusal to cooperate. Adverse effects were reported by 7.9% BDP vs. 14% BUD patients. (no p value given). Two patients in the BUD group withdrew due to ADE (sneezing and wheezing) vs. none in the BDP group. The use of eye drops was allowed and was not different between groups. Criticism: Single-blinded, no statistical values were given for overall efficacy assessment, just individual improvement in symptoms. Dose of BUD used is approximately 60% higher than the maximum recommended daily dose of 256 mcg. 		

Adamopoulos G, et al 20 Compared BDP aqueous to BUD aqueous in PAR. OL, R, Cross-over 37 patients 12 weeks (6 weeks each treatment) (Astra)	-BDP 1 spray in each nostril qid (400 mcg/d). -BUD 2 sprays in each nostril bid (400 mcg/d). Patients were crossed-over to the alternate treatment after 6 weeks of treatment.	TNSS improved more in the BUD group compared to BDP (p=0.001). Blocked and runny nose improved to a greater extent with BUD, but there was not a significant difference for sneezing, itchy nose, and runny eyes. A greater number of subjects preferred BUD (28) compared to BDP (4). Four patients rated them as equally effective. (p=0.0001) BUD>BDP for blocked and runny nose and patient preference.	Number of symptom free days was greater in those receiving BUD compared to BDP. (no p value given). A significantly greater number of patients preferred BUD to BDP overall and with regard to side effects. No serious ADE were reported by patients with either BDP or BUD. Criticism: Open-label study. Dose of BUD was 60% higher than the maximum recommended daily dose of 256 mcg. BDP was given qid and could have contributed to patient preference of BUD over BDP.
Al-Mohaimeid ²¹ Compared BDP to BUD in PAR SB, R, Parallel 120 patients 3 weeks (Astra)	-BDP 2 sprays in each nostril bid (400 mcg/d) (n=62) -BUD 2 sprays in each nostril bid (400 mcg/d) (n=58)	Mean TNSS for weeks 1, 2 and 3 were not different between groups with the exception of runny nose at week 1 (p=0.04) and sneezing at week 3 (p=0.04) favoring BUD. At the end of the study, 26% on BDP vs. 35% on BUD reported no symptoms (no p value given). Patients global evaluation of treatment was noticeably, very or totally effective in 58% on BDP and 72% on BUD (no p value given). BUD slightly greater than BDP although no p value provided for 2 measures in the study.	 10 subjects receiving BDP reported non- serious ADE compared to 3 receiving BUD and may or may not have been related to the study drug. Criticism: Single-blinded. Dose of BUD used was 60% higher than the maximum recommended daily dose of 256 mcg. Individual symptoms (runny nose and sneezing) improved with BUD vs BDP at only 2 time points. No p value was provided for measures of patients reporting no symptoms and patients global assessment of efficacy.

	Beclometh	nasone (BDP) vs. Flunisoli	de (FLU)
Welsh, et al ²² Compared BDP aqueous to flunisolide and cromolyn in SAR DB (PLA, BDP) SB (flunisolide and cromolyn), R, PC 120 patients Approx. 8 week duration (Jul-Sept) (Glaxo)	-BDP 84 mcg in each nostril bid (336 mcg/day) (n=26). -Flunisolide 50 mcg bid each nostril bid (200 mcg/day) (n=26) -Cromolyn 1 spray in each nostril qid (41.6 mg/day) (n=24). -PLA 2 sprays in each nostril bid (n=22).	All active treatments were superior to PLA (p<0.001) and BDP and FLU were significantly better than cromolyn in reducing total hay fever scores (p<0.001). At peak season, PE showed that drug treated patients had less severe symptoms ((p<0.001) and BDP and FLU were better than cromolyn (p<0.05), but not different from each other. BDP=FLU>Cromolyn	Of the 30 patients receiving flunisolide, 10 noted nasal burning (2 withdrew from the study due to burning). Common cold was noted in a greater number of patients receiving BDP than any other group. During peak season, patients in the PLA group reported a 10-fold increase in asthma symptoms compared to those receiving glucocorticoids (p<0.001). The expected increase in seasonal asthma symptoms did not occur in the intranasal steroid group.
	Beclomet	hasone (BDP) vs. Fluticas	one (FP)
Ratner, et al ²³ Compared BDP aqueous to FP in SAR (moderate to severe). MC, DB, R, PC, Parallel 313 patients 2 weeks (Glaxo)	-BDP 84 mcg in each nostril bid (n=103) -FP 100 mcg in each nostril qd and 2 sprays of PLA vehicle in each nostril qhs (n=106). -PLA 2 sprays in each nostril bid (n=104). Rescue medication: chlorpheniramine	Clinician-rated nasal symptom score (VAS): Similar significant improvement was noted in BDP and FP groups compared to PLA ($p<0.001$) at the first visit (day 7). Patient-rated nasal symptom score (VAS): Similar significant improvement was noted in BDP and FP compared to PLA ($p<0.01$). Clinician-rated overall assessment: BDP and FP achieved significant or moderate improvement more often than PLA. -Use of rescue medication was \downarrow in both active groups compared to PLA ($p<0.05$). BDP=FP>PLA	No significant differences in ADE were noted across all 3 groups. More patients receiving FP noted episodes of epistaxis or blood in the nasal mucosa. Mean morning cortisol concentrations were within the normal range before and after treatment in all groups. Improvement in symptoms was noted by day 1 in the BDP group and day 2 in the FP group.

Van As, et al ²⁴	-BDP 84 mcg in	Clinician-rated nasal	-No difference was observed in withdrawal
Compared BDP	each nostril bid	symptom score (VAS):	due to ADE between groups or frequency of
aqueous to FP in PAR	(n=85).	Similar significant	ADE reported. However, blood in the nasal
(moderate to severe)	-FP 50 mcg in each	improvement was noted	mucous was reported more often in the BDP
	nostril bid (n=92).	in BDP and both FP	and FP bid groups compared to FP qd or
MC, DB, R, PC,	-FP 100 mcg in	groups compared to	PLA. Although not statistically significant,
Parallel	each nostril qd and	PLA ($p < 0.05$) at the	epistaxis was reported more often in both FP
466 patients	2 sprays of PLA	first visit (day 7).	groups compared to BDP or PLA.
6 months	vehicle in each	Patient-rated nasal	-No difference was noted for mean morning
(Glaxo)	nostril qhs (n=102).	symptom score (VAS):	plasma cortisol concentrations or response to
	-PLA 2 sprays in	Similar significant	cosyntropin stimulation testing for any
	each nostril bid	improvement was noted	group.
	(n=81).	in active treatment	-Nasal candidiasis was noted in 1 patient
		groups compared to	receiving FP bid and 1 patient receiving
	Rescue medication:	PLA (p<0.05), except	BDP. Oral candidiasis was noted in 1 patient
	chlorpheniramine	for FP qd at week 8	receiving PLA.
		(p=0.105) compared to	-Patients received ophthalmologic testing at
		PLA.	12 and 24 weeks. It was reported that 3% of
		Clinician-rated overall	patients in the active treatment and PLA
		assessment: Nearly	groups had ocular changes, however none of
		twice as many patients	these changes were the type attributed to
		receiving BDP of either	systemic steroid therapy (posterior
		dose of FP achieved	subcapsular cataracts and increased
		significant of moderate	DI A group was noted to have a small
		study compared to PLA	subconsular lonticular openity in each ave
		Because madiantian in	Of the 466 patients enrolled in the study
		hoth active groups but	360 completed the 6 month follow-up. The
		this was not	number of subjects withdrawn were not
		$\sin \psi$ was not significant at all visits	different between groups however more
		Nasal eosinophilia was	patients treated with PLA withdrew due to
		reduced 64-79% in	lack of effect.
		natients on FP or BDP	
		but only 39% with PLA	
		BDP=FP ad/bid>PLA	

Haye R ²⁵ Compared BDP aqueous to FP in PAR MC, DB, R, Parallel 251 patients 1 year (Glaxo)	-BDP 200 mcg bid (n=63) -FP 200 mcg bid (n=116) -Treatment randomization 2:1 Rescue medication:	Nasal blockage and rhinorrhea were significantly improved in the FP group vs. BDP group (p=0.002). Watery eyes/irritation improved more in the FP vs. BDP group	Percent of reported ADE were similar between groups. Epistaxis was reported by 14% of the FP vs. 5% of those receiving BDP. Headache was reported by 8% vs 4% of the FP vs. BDP groups, respectively. Plasma cortisol levels were not significantly changed in either group
	terfenadine	(p=0.048). The symptoms of nasal itching and sneezing were not statistically different between BDP and FP. Use of rescue medication was not provided. FP=or slightly >BDP (see comment section)	 Only 179 patients were included in the efficacy analysis. Details of reasons for withdrawal were not given. Criticism: Dose of FP was double that of the maximum recommended daily dose of 200 mcg. One of the 2 lead authors was employed by Glaxo.
	Beclometh	asone (BDP) vs. Mometas	one (MF)
Graft D, et al ²⁶ Compared BDP aqueous to MF in SAR beginning prior to ragweed season. MC, DB, R, PC, Parallel 347 patients 8 weeks (Schering-Plough)	-BDP 168 mcg bid (n=116) -MF 200 mcg qam and PLA spray qpm (n=116) -PLA 2 sprays in each nostril bid (n=115).	Primary comparison was between MF and PLA, other comparisons (BDP vs. MF) were considered secondary. Average number of days with minimal or no allergy symptoms was the primary efficacy endpoint. BDP and MF were associated with a higher number of minimal symptom days compared to PLA (p< or = 0.01). From the start of ragweed season, there was a prolonged period of days to worsened symptoms in both active groups compared to PLA (27 vs. 10 days, respectively) (p<0.01). TNSS were lower in both active groups compared to PLA (p<0.01) BDP=MF>PLA	 330 patients were included in the efficacy analysis. 17 patients were excluded for: failure to meet entrance criteria, unacceptable baseline severity, noncompliance with dosing regimen and follow up visits. ADE were reported by 51% of those receiving BDP, 63% of those receiving MF, and 52% of those in the PLA group. The most common ADE that was felt to be at least possibly related to treatment was headache occurring in 7% MF, 3% BDP, and 6% PLA. Withdrawal due to ADE occurred in 5 subjects on BDP, 1 on MF, and 4 in the PLA group. The only group reporting serious related ADE was the PLA group.

Drouin, et al ²⁷	-BDP 100 mcg in	Patient-rated total	Numbers of patients reporting ADE were not
Compared BDP	each nostril bid	nasal symptom score:	different among the 3 groups. However,
aqueous to MF in PAR	(400 mcg/d) plus	Mean \downarrow in TNSS for the	epistaxis or blood in nasal discharge was
(moderate to severe)	MF PLA bid	first 15 days of	reported more frequently in the active
((n=116).	treatment was the	treatment groups. Eight patients in the MF. 6
MC, DB, R, Double-	-MF 100 mcg in	primary efficacy	in the BDP, and 2 in the PLA groups
dummy, Parallel	each nostril gam	variable. Secondary	withdrew from the study due to ADE. The
427 patients	(200 mcg/d) and	variables included	most common reason for withdrawal was
3 months	MF PLA qpm and	TNSS averaged over 15	epistaxis in 3 of the MF and 5 in the BDP
(Schering-Plough)	BDP PLA bid	day intervals beyond	group.
	(n=111).	initial 15 days. BDP and	
	-PLA 2 sprays from	MF \downarrow TNSS similarly	328 patients were included in the efficacy
	each PLA bottle	and greater than PLA	analysis. 55 patients withdrew due to
	bid (n=101).	(p<0.01)	treatment failure (14 BDP, 15 MF, 26 PLA).
	-Each patient	Physician-rated total	Other reasons for discontinuation were
	received a total of	nasal symptom score:	similar among groups except for ADE (see
	16 sprays/day	Mean \downarrow in TNSS ranged	above).
	comprised of active	from 40-64% for BDP	
	drug and PLA.	and 34-58% for MF for	
		the 5 office visits. BDP	
	Rescue medication:	was statistically better	
	loratadine	than PLA at all visits,	
		however MF was	
		numerically better but	
		not statistically better	
		than PLA at 2 visits	
		(day 29 and week 8).	
		There was no statistical	
		difference between BDP	
		and MF at any time.	
		Physicians Evaluation	
		of patient response:	
		BDP and MF were	
		Statistically better than $DL A at 60\%$ of the	
		PLA at 60% of the	
		to each other	
		The use of rescue	
		medications did not	
		differ between groups	
		BDP-ME>PL A	

	Beclomethas	sone (BDP) vs. Triamcino	lone (TAA)
Winder J, et al ²⁸ Compared BDP aqueous to TAA aerosol in PAR MC, SB (investigator blind), R, Parallel 169 patients 4 weeks (Rhone-Poulenc-Rorer)	-BDP 168 mcg bid (n=83) -TAA 220 mcg qd (n=86)	Individual and total nasal scores were reported by patients on a daily basis and were not different between groups. Physicians and patients were asked to provide global efficacy evaluations of their treatment. Patients rated TAA as more efficacious overall (p- 0.035), however physicians global rating was not different between BDP and TAA.	The authors provided thoughts on reasons for higher global efficacy in those patients receiving TAA which included improved compliance with once daily dosing, anti- inflammatory potency or a combination of both. The authors concluded that the differences in efficacy observed were most likely not clinically important. ADE were mild and significant differences included a higher incidence of sneezing with TAA and a higher rate of nose and throat "runoff" with BDP. Criticism : Single-blinded study (patients not blinded)
		TAA= or slightly >BDP	
	Budesonide (BU	D) aerosol vs. Budesonide	(BUD) aqueous
Day J, et al ²⁹ Compared BUD aerosol to BUD aqueous in SAR MC, DB, R, PC, Parallel 318 patients 4 weeks (Astra)	-BUD aerosol 100 mcg in each nostril bid (400 mcg/day) (n=76) -BUD aqueous 256 mcg qam (n=78) -BUD aqueous 400 mcg qam (n=79) -PLA qam (n=70) Rescue medication: terfenadine	TNSS in all 3 active BUD groups improved to a greater extent than the PLA group (p<0.001). No difference was seen between the 3 BUD groups. Overall assessment of treatment by patients was also better in all 3 BUD groups compared to PLA (p<0.001) and similar to each other. The use of rescue medications (terfenadine) was significantly \downarrow in all 3 BUD groups compared to PLA (p<0.001). BUD aerosol=BUD aqueous>PLA	Reported ADE were not different between active treatment groups or PLA. Urine cortisol was not changed significantly from baseline and end of treatment in any group.

	Budesonide (BUD) vs. Flunisolide FLU)					
Pipkorn, U ³⁰ Compared BUD to FLU in SAR. SB, R, Parallel 60 patients 3 weeks (AB Draco)	-BUD aerosol 400 mcg/d (n=30) -FLU aqueous 200 mcg/d (n=28)	TNSS ↓ from baseline in both groups but were not different from each other. Overall patient assessment of treatment was good to very good in 79% of those using BUD and 71% of those using FLU. Physician overall assessment was rated as good to very good by 82% receiving BUD vs. 72% receiving FLU. (no p value given). BUD=FLU	 2 patients in the FLU were withdrawn from the study due to not following instructions because of intense stinging of FLU at the time of administration. A significantly higher number of subjects reported ADE with FLU compared to BUD (FLU 59% vs. BUD 18%). Most common ADE in the FLU group was nasal stinging and irritation. Criticism: No p values provided for patient or physician overall assessment of efficacy. 			
	Budeso	nide (BUD) vs. Fluticason	e (FP)			
Stern MA, et al ³¹ Compared BUD aqueous to FP in SAR MC, DB, SB (FP to the investigator), R, PC, Parallel 635 patients 4-6 weeks (Astra)	-BUD 128 mcg qam (n=181). -BUD 256 mcg qam (n=182). -FP 200 mcg qam (n=180). -PLA 2 sprays qam (n=59) Rescue medication: terfenadine	Individual nasal symptom scores were significantly \downarrow from baseline for all 3 active treatment groups compared to PLA (p<0.001). Sneezing was \downarrow to a greater extent in the BUD 256 mcg group compared to FP 200 mcg (p=0.04). TNSS were \downarrow significantly in the 3 active treatment groups compared to PLA (p<0.001) and similar to each other. On days in which the pollen count was >10 grains/m ³ , a greater \downarrow from baseline was observed for those in the BUD 256 mcg group compared to FP 200 mcg (p=or<0.04) for sneezing, runny nose, and TNSS. BUD 256 mcg was better than BUD 128 mcg in \downarrow	ADE were reported by 32% BUD 128 mcg, 34% BUD 256 mcg, 24% FP, 25% PLA and were not clinically significant. Criticism: FP group was not blinded for the patient.			

		mcg. Overall assessment of efficacy was similar and significantly better in all3 active groups compared to PLA (p<0.001). The use of rescue medications ↓ by 50% in all 3 active groups, but ↑ in the PLA group. BUD 256= or slightly > in certain parameters than BUD 128 mcg and FP>PLA	
Day J, et al ³² Compared BUD aqueous to FP in PAR MC, DB, SB (FP to the investigator), R, PC, Parallel 314 patients 6 weeks (Astra)	-BUD 256 mcg qd (n=111) -FP 200 mcg qd (n=109) -PLA qam (n=53) Treatment randomization: 2:2:1 (BUD:FP:PLA) Rescue medication: loratadine	TNSS \downarrow significantly in both active treatment groups compared to PLA (p<0.001 BUD, p<0.001 FP). BUD was associated with a greater improvement in TNSS compared to FP (p=0.031). For individual nasal symptom scores, BUD was better than FP in \downarrow the symptom of blocked nose (p=0.009). FP was no better than PLA in improving blocked nose. For sneezing and rhinorrhea, there was no difference. After 6 weeks, there was no difference noted in overall patient assessment of treatment efficacy between active groups were better than PLA. Rescue loratadine was \downarrow significantly in both active treatment groups compared to PLA. BUD=or> for certain parameters than	 ADE were reported by 46% of BUD, 37% FP, and 36% of PLA recipients. There was no statistical difference between active groups or PLA. Two patients in each active treatment group withdrew due to ADE. Onset of significant symptom relief, compared to PLA was noted within 36 hours for the BUD group and 60 hours for the FP group. However, this study did not set out to evaluate onset of action. Therefore, differences represent 24-hour intervals instead of data obtained at shorter specified time points. Criticism: FP group was blinded only to the investigator.
Andersson M, et al ³³ Compared BUD dry powder to FP in PAR MC, DB, SB (FP to the investigator), R, PC	-BUD 200 mcg qd (n=24) -BUD 400 mcg qd (n=22) -FP 200 mcg qd (n=25)	FP>PLA TNSS \downarrow significantly in all 3 active treatment groups compared to PLA (p<0.05). No difference was noted between active groups.	24 hour urinary cortisol did not change significantly from baseline and were not different from each other. No significant findings were observed on rhinoscopy.

Parallel 98 patients 6 weeks (Astra Draco)	-BUD PLA (n=27) Urinary cortisol and rhinoscopy were performed to assess safety Rescue medication: terfenadine or antazoline/naphazol ine eye drops	For patient overall assessment of efficacy, more patients receiving BUD 400 mcg/d experienced substantial or total control of symptoms compared to BUD 200 or FP although no statistical analysis was done to compare active	Use of rescue medications was less in all 3 active groups compared to PLA. Criticism: FP was blinded only to the investigator. Small sample size. Compliance was 89.6% in FP, 98.3% in BUD 400 mcg, and 111.2% in the BUD 200 mcg group.
	Flutica	treatment groups. BUD 200 mcg=FP BUD 400 slightly better than BUD 200 and FP>PLA sone (FP) vs. Mometasone	e (MF)
Mandl M, et al ³⁴ Compared FP to MF in PAR (moderate to severe) MC, DB (double- dummy), R, PC, Parallel 548 patients 12 weeks, 13 th week off treatment (Schering-Plough)	-FP 200 mcg qd followed by MF PLA qd (n=183) -MF 200 mcg/d followed by FP PLA qd (n=181) -FP PLA plus MF PLA qd (n=184) Rescue medication: Loratadine	TNSS improved from baseline in both active groups compared to PLA ($p<0.01$) at all time points during the study. Following 1 week off treatment, TNSS were still improved more than PLA in both active groups ($p<$ or =0.01). Number of symptom- free days were similar in the active groups, but greater than PLA ($p<0.01$). Individual symptoms were better with MF at day 29 and week 8 for nasal congestion ($p=0.04$) and nasal discharge at weeks 8 and 12 ($p=0.03$). FP=MF overall	474 patients completed the trial. Withdrawn due to inefficacy: PLA 14, FP 6, MF 4 Withdrawn due to ADE: PLA 2, FP 4, MF 3 Use of rescue medication was similar in both active treatment groups but greater in the PLA group.

	Fluticasone (FP) vs. Triamcinolone (TAA)				
Small P, et al ³⁵	-FP 200 mcg qd	TNSS \downarrow similarly in	223 patients completed the trial. 10 were		
Compared FP aqueous	(n=117)	both groups. There was	excluded for primarily administrative		
to TAA aerosol in	-TAA 220 mcg qd	also no difference with	reasons. Only 1 withdrew due to ADE		
SAR.	(n=116)	regard to individual	(severe headache in the TAA group).		
		nasal symptoms.			
MC, SB, R, Parallel		Differences between	ADE were similar between groups with the		
233 patients		groups occurred with	most common being epistaxis and headache.		
3 weeks		regard to patient			
(Rhone-Poulenc Rorer)		acceptance (e.g.	Criticism: Single-blinded.		
		medication runs down			
		throat or out of nose			
		more often in those			
		receiving FP. However,			
		medication caused dry			
		nostril or stuffy nose			
		more in the TAA			
		group).			
		FP=TAA with some			
		differences in patient			
		acceptance for both			
		products.			

ADE=adverse effects, AQ=aqueous, BDP=beclomethasone dipropionate, BUD=budesonide, DB=double-blind, FLU=flunisolide, FP=fluticasone propionate, MC=multicenter,, MF=mometasone furoate, O=open-label, PAR=perennial allergic rhinitis, PLA=placebo, PC=placebo-controlled, PE=physical exam, R=randomized, SAR=seasonal allergic rhinitis, SB=single-blind, sx=symptom, TAA=triamcinolone acetonide, VAS=visual analogue scale

N, in the study group section, refers to those patients included in the efficacy analysis.

Studies in table 4 included a run-in period of 4-14 days to assess severity of allergy symptoms on no allergy medications.

Parenthesis in the first column indicate the study's sponsor.

After review of clinical trials, evaluating the efficacy of the intranasal corticosteroids, differences between agents are minimal. Therefore, these agents can be considered equally effective for the management of seasonal and perennial allergic rhinitis.

VI. Safety and Adverse Effects

Local Adverse Effects:

The most common adverse effects, associated with the use of intranasal corticosteroids, result from local irritation of the nasal mucosa and include burning, stinging, and sneezing in approximately 10% of patients. Bloody nasal discharge/epistaxis can be observed in about 2% of patients and rare case reports of perforated septum exist in patients using nasal steroids ³⁶. Therefore, patients should be advised to direct the spray of their nasal steroid away from the nasal septum in order to decrease the risk of perforation. It is recommended that patients prescribed long-term intranasal steroids have their nasal mucosa evaluated periodically to identify erosions that may precede septal perforation.

Long-term studies in patients with perennial allergic rhinitis have demonstrated, using nasal biopsies, that no evidence of tissue atrophy or change occur in patients receiving long-term treatment with beclomethasone or mometasone ^{37,38}.

Systemic Adverse Effects:

The systemic bioavailability of each intranasal corticosteroid is made up of the swallowed portion of the dose as well as the amount absorbed via the nasal mucosa. All of the commercially available intranasal steroids undergo significant first-pass hepatic metabolism resulting in relatively low bioavailability for all agents. Mometasone and fluticasone have the lowest reported bioavailability from the gastrointestinal tract (<2%) vs. approximately 20% for the other agents. Since drugs absorbed via the nasal mucosa do not undergo first-pass metabolism, bioavailability is

thought to be nearly 100%. However, as a result of rapid mucocilliary clearance and limited nasal surface area for drug deposition, actual bioavailability is probably significantly less than $100\%^{39}$.

The use of intranasal corticosteroids for the management of SAR and PAR has generally been regarded as safe and effective without significant concern for systemic adverse effects. However, investigators have demonstrated decreased serum and urinary cortisol levels in short-term studies in patients and/or volunteers using fluticasone, beclomethasone, budesonide, or triamcinolone. Alternatively, in these studies, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis was found to be unaffected using insulin tolerance testing (ITT) or adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) stimulation testing, suggesting intact adrenal reserve with the use of these agents.

The following tests have been used in controlled clinical trials to evaluate systemic bioactivity of intranasal corticosteroids (**The clinical relevance of these findings is not known**)³⁹. Since the clinical relevance of these test are unknown, we are not recommending that any of these tests be used routinely to detect systemic side effects of the intranasal corticosteroids.

Screening Tests (basal cortisol secretion)	Stimulation Tests (assess adrenal reserve)
Overnight urinary free cortisol	Low dose ACTH test (0.5 mcg) a.k.a. tetracosactrin
24 hour urinary free cortisol	CRH-corticotrophin releasing hormone test (100 mcg)
24 hour plasma cortisol	High dose ACTH test (250 mcg)
8 am plasma cortisol	

Other tests:

<u>Osteocalcin</u>: Osteocalcin is produced by osteoblasts and is a specific surrogate marker of their activity and is used to measure effects on bone growth.

Blood eosinophil count: Can be used as a surrogate marker of systemic allergy burden.

<u>Insulin tolerance test (ITT)</u>: Insulin-induced hypoglycemia causes increases in plasma cortisol levels and suggests whether hypoglycemic stress leads to a normal or impaired increase in cortisol. It is another method for measuring the adrenal glands ability to respond to physiologic stress (intact HPA axis). Obviously, it should be used with caution since its effects can be hazardous (hypoglycemia).

Screening tests can be used to assess basal cortisol secretion and are simply a marker for potential systemic bioactivity. Long-term exposure to systemic corticosteroids can lead to depressed ACTH levels and adrenal atrophy. Therefore a stimulation test is necessary to assess adrenal reserve and the bodies ability to respond to physiologic stress.

Table 5. Clinical Trials Evaluating Systemic Bioactivity of the Intranasal Corticosteroids (Abbreviation key: page 18) AR=allergic rhinitis, BUD=budesonide, BDP=beclomethasone dipropionate, DB=double-blind, FP=fluticasone propionate, MF=mometasone furgate, MC=multicenter, O=open-label, PLA=placebo, PC=placebo-controlled, R=randomized, SB=single-blind, TAA=triamcinolone acetonide

			introned, it randomized, i		
Clinical Trial; Adult or child	Number of Patients	Drug/Dose	Duration	Assessment Test	Results
Wilson AM, et al ⁴⁰ Adult, SB, R, PC, 4- way crossover design	16 volunteers	PLA FP 200 mcg/d TAA 220 mcg/d BDP 336 mcg/d	Each arm consisted of 4 days of treatment	Overnight: -urinary cortisol -urinary cortisol/ creatinine ratio -ACTH 0.5 mcg Stimulation Test	Overnight urinary cortisol: PLA=no change FP=↓ significantly compared to PLA (p<0.05). TAA and BDP=change not significant compared to PLA. Overnight urinary cortisol/creatinine: Not significant for any agent. ACTH Stim. Test: No significant adrenal suppression for any agent.
Wilson AM, et al ⁴¹ Adult, SB, R, PC, 4- way crossover design	20 patients with AR	PLA BUD 200 mcg/d MF 200 mcg/d TAA 220 mcg/d	Each arm consisted of 4 days of treatment	Overnight, 8 am, Daytime, and 24- hour: -plasma cortisol -urinary cortisol /creatinine ratio -urinary cortisol Serum osteocalcin Blood eosinophil count	No significant differences were observed in any of the active treatment groups compared to PLA for any screening test used.
Knutsson U, et al ⁴² Adult, O, R	14 volunteers	FP 200 mcg/d BUD 400 mcg/d	2 weeks (Drug doses were doubled for the 2 nd week)	24-hour: -urine cortisol -plasma cortisol Serum osteocalcin Insulin tolerance test (ITT)	24-hour urinary and plasma cortisol levels: Both FP and BUD were associated with a significant decrease in urinary and plasma cortisol levels. Serum osteocalcin Significantly decreased for both agents during the first week compared to baseline. No further reduction was seen during the 2 nd week. ITT: No significant

					change, indicating
42					intact HPA axis.
Nayak AS, et al ⁴³	80 children with	PLA	6 weeks	ACTH 250 mcg	ACTH: No
Children, MC, DB,	AR	TAA 220 mcg/d		Stimulation Test	difference was
R, PC, parallel design		TAA 440 mcg/d			noted in plasma
				Pharmacokinetic	cortisol levels
				testing of TAA in	between either dose
				randomly selected	of TAA or PLA,
				patients.	suggesting intact
					HPA axis.
					TAA plasma
					concentrations:
					6/% of patients had
					plasina
					TAA 24 hours after
					dosing suggesting a
					rapid decline in
					$T \Delta \Delta$ from the
					blood with little or
					no accumulation
					even at the highest
					doses
Wihl JA, et al ⁴⁴	14 volunteers	PLA	Single dose or	Overnight:	Single dose study:
Adult. O. R. PC.	(single-dose)	BDP 200, 400.	4 davs	Plasma and urinary	No treatment
crossover design	30 volunteers (4	800 mcg single		cortisol (single-	altered plasma
C	day study)	dose or qd		dose study)	cortisol. Urinary
		BUD 200, 400,			cortisol levels were
		800 mcg single		24-hour urinary	significantly
		dose or qd		cortisol (4 day	decreased
		_		study)	compared to PLA
					for BUD 400 and
					800 mcg but not for
					any dose of BDP.
					Multiple dose
					study: A
					significant decrease
					in urinary cortisol
					was observed with
					all doses of BUD
					and only the 800
					mcg dose of BDP.
					BUD had a
					affact on cortical
					levels than BDP at
					all dose levels
					(n < 0.03)
Brannan MD, et al	64 patients with	PLA	36 days	ACTH 250 mcg	Significant
45	AR	Prednisone 10	50 uu 95	Stimulation Test	difference in
Adult, 3 rd party blind.		mg ad			response of plasma
R, parallel design		BDP 42 mcg, 2			cortisol with
		sprays in each			prednisone
		nostril bid			compared to PLA
		BDP 84 mcg, 2			(p<0.01). No

		sprays in each nostril qd			difference was noted in plasma cortisol between either BDP and PLA or from the 2 doses of BDP.
Howland WC, et al Adult, MC, DB, PC, parallel design	64 patients with AR	PLA TAA 220 mcg/d TAA 440 mcg/d Prednisone 10 mg qd	6 weeks	ACTH 250 mcg Stimulation Test	No difference in plasma cortisol occurred in either TAA group compared to PLA. However, the prednisone group has a significant reduction in plasma cortisol compared to PLA (p<0.001). These data suggest an intact HPA axis with TAA.
Brannan MD, et al ⁴⁷ Children, R, PC, parallel design	96 children with AR (3-12 years of age)	PLA MF 50, 100, 200 mcg qd	14 days	Plasma cortisol and 24-hour urinary cortisol ACTH 250 mcg Stimulation Test	No difference in urinary or plasma cortisol was detected in any MF group compared to PLA. ACTH Stim. Test: Normal response to stimulation test was observed in all MF groups compared to PLA suggesting intact HPA axis.

AR=allergic rhinitis, BUD=budesonide, BDP=beclomethasone dipropionate, DB=double-blind, FP=fluticasone propionate, MF=mometasone furoate, MC=multicenter, O=open-label, PLA=placebo, PC=placebo-controlled, R=randomized, SB=single-blind, TAA=triamcinolone acetonide

Pediatric Adverse Effects: Bone Growth and Development

Recently, a double-blind, placebo-controlled, 12-month study enrolled 100 children (6-9 years) with PAR who were randomized to receive beclomethasone 336 mcg/d or placebo. In this study, bone growth was measured by standing height at 7 time-points during the 12-month period. A significant decrease in bone growth was observed at 1, 6, 8, and 12-month time periods, although the clinical significance of these findings is unclear ⁴⁸. In a similarly designed study, in children 6-9 years of age, treatment with mometasone furoate 100 mcg daily for 12 months compared to placebo did not slow growth rate ⁴⁹. However, as a result of these data and other findings, in late 1998, the Food and Drug Administration's Pulmonary and Allergy Drugs Committee instituted a class labeling change for all inhaled oral and intranasal corticosteroids regarding the potential for growth suppression in children.

Biochemical markers of bone metabolism (formation and resorption) include: osteocalcin, parathyroid hormone (PTH), alkaline phosphatase (AP), bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP), propeptide of type III procollagen (PIIINP), and telopeptide of type I collagen (ICTP). Markers of bone formation seem to be more sensitive than bone resorption for evaluation of systemic activity of the inhaled corticosteroids³⁹.

Bone formation: Osteocalcin, alkaline phosphatase, bone alkaline phosphatase (all of these markers decrease with decreased bone mineralization and formation).

Bone resorption: ICTP, PTH (these markers increase with increased bone resorption).

Knemometry: Technique in which lower leg growth is measured. Knemometry can accurately and reproducibly determine short-term growth velocity to within 2 mm in children.

Stadiometer: Standing height (long-term growth)

Table 6. Clinical Trials Evaluating Intranasal Corticosteroids Effect on Bone Metabolism and Growth (Abbreviation key: page 21)

AR=allergic rhinitis, BDP=beclomethasone dipropionate, BUD=budesonide, DB=double-blind, HPA=hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal, MC=multicenter, MF=mometasone furoate, MPDA=methylprednisolone acetate, O=open-label, PLA=placebo, PC=placebo-controlled, R=randomized.

Clinical Trial:	Number of	Drug/Dose	Duration	Assessment	Results
Children	Subjects			Test	
Martinati LC, et al ⁵⁰ R	39 children AR (6-14 years old)	-BDP 200 or 400 mcg/d -Sodium cromoglycate (SCG) 30 mg/d	8 weeks	Biochemical markers of bone metabolism: Osteocalcin, PTH, AP, BAP, ICTP	Osteocalcin: No change from baseline or from each other. PTH: No significant difference (tendency to ↓ in SCG group and ↑ in BDP 400 mcg group). AP and BAP: All groups showed a reduction after 2 months of treatment regardless of treatment assignment. No differences were seen between groups. ICTP: No differences within each group were noted during the study period. Conclusion: BDP in doses of 200 or 400 mcg/d did not significantly affect markers of bone metabolism. Canisters weighed before and after treatment suggested overuse since the change in canister weight averaged 108.5% (range 79-136%)of predicted values.
Wolthers OD, et al ⁵¹ DB, R, parallel design	38 children AR (7-15 years old)	-BUD 200 or 400 mcg/d (dry powder) -PLA qd	4 week run-in 4 weeks treatment	Knemometry	Growth velocity was nearly identical during the run-in and treatment phases for the PLA and BUD 200 mcg groups. For the PLA and 400 mcg groups, there was a nonsignificant ($p<0.11$) decrease in growth velocity. Conclusion: No differences in growth velocities were noted between the 3 groups during the run-in and treatment phases of the study. Results provide evidence that systemic activity is low in children receiving 200 or 400

					mcg/d for SAR or PAR.
Wolthers OD, et al ⁵² DB, O (MPDA) R, Parallel design	44 children AR (school age)	-BUD 200 mcg bid -Terfenadine 60 mg bid -MPDA 60 mg IM once	4 week run-in 6 weeks treatment	Knemometry	Compared with the run-in period, treatment with BUD and MPDA were associated with a significant reduction in lower leg growth velocity. Run-in and treatment growth velocity did not change significantly in the terfenadine group. Conclusion: Short-term lower leg growth velocity is decreased in children with AR receiving intranasal or depot steroids. However, conclusions regarding long- term statural growth cannot be drawn.
Agertoft L, et al ⁵³ DB, R, PC, crossover design	22 children SAR or PAR (7-12 years old)	-BUD 400 mcg/d -MF 100 or 200 mcg/d -PLA	Two week treatment intervals, 2 week wash-out periods separated each treatment period	Knemometry	Although a greater growth velocity was observed in the MF 100 mcg group, compared to all other groups including PLA, there was no overall difference in growth velocities in any of the active treatment groups or PLA. Conclusion: No short-term effects on linear lower leg growth were observed after either dose of MF or BUD.
Skoner DP, et al ⁴⁰ DB, R, PC, parallel design	100 children (6-9 years old)	-BDP 168 mcg bid -PLA bid	12 months	Height measurement: stadiometer at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 months. 8 am basal cortisol conc. ACTH 250 mcg Stimulation testing	Stadiometer: Mean change in standing height was 5 cm in BDP group compared to 5.9 cm in the PLA group. The mean overall rate of growth was 0.13 cm/d in the BDP vs. 0.17 cm/d in the PLA group (p<0.01)). Difference was apparent after 1 month. Authors point out that baseline height was significantly greater in the BDP group, but comment that statistical testing ruled this difference out as a contributor to the difference in final height at 1 year. 8 am basal cortisol levels: No differences were noted in plasma cortisol levels between BDP and PLA. ACTH Stim. Test: No difference between groups suggesting intact HPA axis. Comment: Adult doses of BDP were used.

Schenkel EJ, et al ⁴¹	98 children	-MF 100 mcg	12 months	Height	Stadiometer: No difference
MC, DB, R, PC,	(6-9 years old)	qd		measurement:	in height at 1 year was seen
parallel design		-PLA qd		stadiometer at	between groups ($p > or = to$
		_		4, 8, 12, 26, 39,	0.20). At 8 and 52 weeks,
				and 52 weeks.	mean height was greater in
					the MF group compared to
				ACTH 250	PLA (p=0.02). However the
				mcg	rate of growth averaged for
				Stimulation	all time points was similar
				Testing	between groups. Mean
				8	change in height in the MF
					group was 6.95 cm vs. 6.35
					cm in the PLA group.
					ACTH Stim. Test: No
					difference between groups at
					any time point suggesting
					intact HPA axis
					Comment: Recommended
					dose in children 3-11 years
					was used $(1/2 \text{ adult dose})$

AR=allergic rhinitis, BDP=beclomethasone dipropionate, BUD=budesonide, DB=double-blind, HPA=hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal, MC=multicenter, MF=mometasone furoate, MPDA=methylprednisolone acetate, O=open-label, PLA=placebo, PC=placebo-controlled, R=randomized.

VII. Dosage and Administration

Patients with AR should be advised to begin treatment with an intranasal corticosteroid prior to the beginning of allergy season, if possible, and to continue using on a daily basis until the season has passed. Once treatment has begun, it may take several days to notice a reduction in allergy symptoms and as long as 1-2 weeks for maximum benefit. If patients have not experienced improvement in their symptoms after 2 weeks, alternative treatment should be instituted. Although a delayed onset of action may be present in some individuals, investigators have observed that many patients experience some degree of symptom relief within 24 hours of the first dose ⁵⁴⁻⁵⁸. In fact, evidence suggests that some patients may benefit from "as needed" use of the intranasal corticosteroids, while others require treatment on a regular basis ^{59,60}.

Although the clinical significance of data regarding the potential for systemic adverse effects from the use of intranasal corticosteroids is not yet known, it is generally recommended that the lowest effective dose of an intranasal corticosteroid be used for the shortest period of time, especially in children. One author suggested that once a canister of medication has been used (3-4 weeks), the patient should be advised to wait 1 week to determine if their symptoms are still present and whether another canister is necessary ⁶¹.

Generic Name	Brand Name	Dose per	Usual daily dose	Usual daily dose
		Actuation (#	(possible number of	in micrograms
		doses per unit)	sprays/month)	_
Beclomethasone	Beconase	42 mcg (80 or 200)	1-2 sprays in each nostril bid-qid	168-336
Dipropionate (BDP)			(120-240)	
	Beconase AQ	42 mcg (200 or >)	1-2 sprays in each nostril bid-qid (120-240)	168-336
	Vancenase	42 mcg (80 or 200)	1-2 sprays in each nostril bid-qid (120-240)	168-336
	Vancenase Pocket	42 mcg (200 or >)	1-2 sprays in each nostril bid-qid (120-240)	168-336
	Vancenase AQ	84 mcg (120 or >)	1-2 sprays in each nostril qd (60-120)	168-336
Budesonide (BUD)	Rhinocort	32 mcg (200 or >)	2 sprays in each nostril bid or	256
	Rhinocort Aqua	32 mcg 200 or >	4 sprays in each nostril qd (240)	
Flunisolide (FLU)	Nasalide	25 mcg (200 or >)	2 sprays in each nostril bid-tid	200-300
			(240-360)	Max=400
	Nasarel	25 mcg (200 or >)	2 sprays in each nostril bid-tid (240-360)	
Fluticasone Propionate (FP)	Flonase	50 mcg (120)	2 sprays in each nostril qd (120)	200
Mometasone Furoate	Nasonex	50 mcg (120)	2 sprays in each nostril qd (120)	200
(MF)	N	<i>55</i> mars (100 mms)	2	220
Triamcinoione Acetonide	Nasacort	55 mcg (100 or >)	2 sprays in each nostril dd (120)	220 Marin 440
(1AA)	Tri Negel Survey	53 mcg(50 or 120)	2 approves in each neatril ad (120)	$\frac{1}{200}$
	1 ri-inasal Spray	50 mcg (120)	2 sprays in each nostrii dd (120)	200 Max=400
	Tri-Nasal Spray	50 mcg (120)	2 sprays in each nostril qd (120)	200 Max=400

Table 7. Dosage and Administration of the Intranasal	Corticosteroids in Adult Patients ⁷⁻¹²
--	--

VIII. Use Patterns of the Intranasal Corticosteroids in the VA

Table 8. D	epartment	of Veteran's	Affairs Use	of the	Intranasal	Corticosteroids
I able of D	cpai inchi	or verenan s	minute coc	or the	mu anabai	Con the obten on ab

Generic Name	January 1999 (percentage of total	December 1999 (percentage of total	Percent of patients receiving 2 canisters per month (average and range FY99)
	steroids)	steroids)	
Beclomethasone	92	88	Aerosol: 24.2 (range 22.2-26.2)
Dipropionate (BDP)			AQ: 22.7 (range 20-26)
			AQ double strength: 16.5 (range 13.8-19.5)
Flunisolide (FLU)	0.7	0.6	24.7 (range 21-28.6)
Fluticasone Propionate	4.4	9.6	9 gram size: 41.1 (range 24.6-57)*
(FP)			16 gram size: 10.9 (range 9-12.6)
Triamcinolone Acetonide	2.4	2.1	Aerosol: 26.8 (range 21.7-33.8)
(TAA)			Aqueous: 33.2 (range 29-36)

Mometasone and budesonide are not included due to low prescribing volume *Less than 100 prescriptions per month

IX. Monthly Cost

Generic Name	Brand Name (strength/number of	Cost (\$)/Canister/Month	
	actuations)	(assuming 1 canister/month)	
Beclomethasone Dipropionate	Beconase 42 mcg/200	18.53	
(BDP)	Beconase AQ 42 mcg/200 or >	25.60	
	Vancenase 42 mcg/200	3.62*	
	Vancenase Pocket 42 mcg/200 or >	3.62*	
	Vancenase AQ 84 mcg/120 or >	27.73**	
Budesonide (BUD)	Rhinocort 32 mcg/200 or $>$	19.60	
	Rhinocort Aqua 32 mcg/ 200 or >	29.94	
Flunisolide (FLU)	Nasalide 25 mcg/200 or $>$	5.79	
	Nasarel 25 mcg/200 or $>$	11.89	
Fluticasone Propionate (FP)	Flonase 50 mcg/120	11.18	
Mometasone Furoate (MF)	Nasonex 50 mcg/120	26.84	
Triamcinolone Acetonide (TAA)	Nasacort 55 mcg/100 or >	18.79	
	Nasacort AQ 55 mcg/120	19.56	
	Tri-Nasal Spray 50 mcg/120	19.40	

 Table 10. Estimated Monthly Cost per Canister Dispensed

*National contract price-expires 6/01 **Once daily-double strength product

X. Conclusions and Recommendations

All of the available intranasal corticosteroids have been shown to be beneficial for the management of SAR and PAR. Furthermore, a review of the literature failed to demonstrate any clinically significant benefit of one agent over another with regard to efficacy. All agents are effective when administered once or twice daily and can be considered equally effective when used in equipotent doses.

Local adverse effects (stinging, burning, dry nasal mucosa) are similar among agents, however may vary with the propellant used (aqueous vs. aerosol). In November 1998, the FDA modified prescribing information for the entire class of inhaled corticosteroids regarding the potential for growth suppression in children receiving either intranasal and/or orally inhaled corticosteroids. This change was made as a result of data from several studies in asthmatic children in which growth was inhibited. As for the intranasal corticosteroids, a study was recently published in which children, 6-9 years of age, were found to have a small, but significant, suppression of growth during a 12-month study with beclomethasone ⁴⁸. The authors of this study, along with the FDA, are unsure of the clinical significance of these findings. Authors of a similar study found no inhibition of growth when mometasone was administered intranasal corticosteroids fluticasone, budesonide, flunisolide, or triamcinolone have not been performed. Subsequently, it is not known whether certain agents are more likely to inhibit growth velocity than others; how rapidly growth velocity returns to normal once an agent is discontinued; or whether attainment of full adult height is in any way affected by the use of these agents. These questions will remain until long-term studies are undertaken to answer them.

In conclusion, the safety and efficacy of these agents in adult patients with SAR or PAR is similar. Therefore, the recommendation for choice of intranasal corticosteroid for the VA National Formulary should be based upon per patient cost. Furthermore, a contract should be sought for both an aerosol and an aqueous product, due to patient tolerability and preference for one mode of delivery over the other.

XI. References

- Dykewicz MS, Fineman S, Skoner, et al. Diagnosis and Management of Rhinitis: Complete Guidelines of the Joint Task Force on Practice Parameters in Allergy, Asthma and Immunology. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 1998;81:478-518
- 2. Naclerio R. Allergic Rhinitis. N Engl J Med 1991;325:860-869.
- 3. Ray NF, Baraniuk JN, Thamer M, et al. Direct Expenditures for the Treatment of Allergic Rhinoconjuctivitis in 1999, Including the Contribution of Related Airway Illness. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1999;103:401-407.
- 4. Rachelefsky GS. National Guidelines Needed to Manage Rhinitis and Prevent Complications. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 1999;82:296-305.
- 5. Stempel DA, Thomas M. Treatment of Allergic Rhinitis: An Evidence-Based Evaluation of Nasal Corticosteroids Versus Nonsedating Antihistamines. Am J Man Care 1998;4:89-96.
- 6. Weiner JM, Abramson MJ, Puy RM. Intranasal Corticosteroids Versus Oral H1 Receptor Antagonists in Allergic Rhinitis: Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials. Br Med J 998;317:1624-1629.
- 7. Physician's Desk Reference 1999. Beconase and Beconase AQ, Vancenase, Vancenase AQ, Vancenase Pockethaler.
- 8. Physician's Desk Reference 1999. Rhinocort.
- 9. Physician's Desk Reference 1999. Nasalide and Nasarel.
- 10. Physician's Desk Reference 1999. Flonase.
- 11. Physician's Desk Reference 1999. Nasonex.
- 12. Physician's Desk Reference 1999. Nasacort.
- 13. Corren J, Lumry WR, Pearlman DS, Nelson H. Recent Advances in the Use of Intranasal Steroids in Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis and Sinusitis. Clinician 1999;17(5):1-22.
- 14. Onrust SV, Lamb HM. Mometasone Furoate: A Review of its Intranasal Use in Allergic Rhinitis. Drugs 1998;56(4):725-745.
- 15. Lumry WR. A Review of the Preclinical and Clinical Data of the Newer Intranasal Steroids Used in the Treatment of Allergic Rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1999;104:150-158.
- Orgel HA, Meltzer EO, Kemp JP, Welch MJ.Clinical. Rhinomanometric, and Cytologic Evaluation of Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis Treated with Beclomethasone Dipropionate as Aqueous Nasal Spray or Pressurized Aerosol. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1986;77:858-864.
- 17. Dunn AM, Wilson RSE, Baggott PJ. A Comparison of Beclomethasone Dipropionate Aqueous Nasal Spray and Beclomethasone Dipropionate Nasal Spray in the Management of Seasonal Rhinitis. Postgraduate Med J 1984;60:404-406.
- 18. Prenner BM, Chervinsky P, Hampel FC, et al. Double-Strength Beclomethasone Dipropionate (84 mcg/spray) Aqueous Nasal Spray in the Treatment of Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1996;98:302-8.
- 19. McArthur JG. A Comparison of Budesonide and Beclomethasone Dipropionate Sprays in the Treatment of Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis. Clin Otolaryngol. 1994;19:537-542.
- Adamopoulos G, Manolopoulos L, Giotakis I. A Comparison of the Efficacy and Patient Acceptability of Budesonide and Beclomethasone Dipropionate Aqueous Nasal Sprays in Patients with Perennial Rhinitis. Clin Otolaryngol. 1995;20:340-344.
- 21. Al-Mohaimeid H. A Parallel-Group Comparison of Budesonide and Beclomethasone Dipropionate for the treatment of Perennial Allergic Rhinitis in Adults. J International Med Res. 1993;21:67-73.
- 22. Welsh PW, Stricker WE, Chu CP, et al. Efficacy of Beclomethasone Nasal Solution, Flunisolide, and Cromolyn in Relieving Symptoms of Ragweed Allergy. Mayo Clin Proc 1987;62:125-134.
- 23. Ratner PH, Paull BR, Findlay SR, et al. Fluticasone Propionate Given Once Daily is as Effective for Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis as Beclomethasone Dipropionate Given Twice Daily. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1992;90:285-291.
- Van As A, Bronsky EA, Dockhorn RJ, et al. Once Daily Fluticasone Propionate is as effective for Perennial Allergic Rhinitis as Twice Daily Beclomethasone Diproprionate. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1993;91:1146-1154.
- 25. Haye R, Gomez EG. A Multicentre Study to Assess Long-Term Use of Fluticasone Propionate Aqueous Nasal Spray in Comparison with Beclomethasone Diproprionate Aqueous Nasal Spray in the Treatment of Perennial Rhinitis. Rhinology 1993;31:169-174.

- Graft D, Aaronson D, Chervinsky P, et al. A Placebo- and Active-Controlled Randomized Trial of Prophylactic Treatment of Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis with Mometasone Furoate Aqueous Nasal Spray. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1996;98:724-731.
- 27. Drouin M, Yang WH, Bertrand B, et al. Once Daily Mometasone Furoate Aqueous Nasal Spray is as Effective as Twice Daily Beclomethasone Dipropionate for Treating Perennial Allergic Rhinitis Patients. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 1996;77:153-160.
- Winder J, Bell T, Brodsky L, et al. A Comparative Study of Intranasal Triamcinolone Acetonide Aerosol and Intranasal Beclomethasone Dipropionate Aqueous Spray in Perennial Allergic Rhinitis. Immunol and Allergy Prac 1993;15:203-209.
- 29. Day J, Alexander M, Drouin M, et al. Budesonide Aqueous Nasal Spray and Pressurized Metered Dose Inhaler in the Treatment of Adult Patients with Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis. Am J Rhinol 1997;11:77-83.
- 30. Pipkorn U, Geterud A. A Comparative Trial Testing Budesonide and Flunisolide Nasal Sprays in Patients with Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis. Annals of Allergy 1984;52:183-186.
- Stern MA, Dahl R, Nielson LP, et al. A Comparison of Aqueous Suspensions of Budesonide Nasal Spray (128 mcg and 256 mcg) and Fluticasone Propionate Nasal Spray in the Treatment of Adult Patients with Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis. Am J Rhinol 1997;11:323-330.
- 32. Day J, Carrillo T. Comparison of the Efficacy of Budesonide and Fluticasone Propionate Aqueous Nasal Spray for Once Daily Treatment of Perennial Allergic Rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1998;102:902-908.
- 33. Andersson M, Berglund R, Greiff L, et al. A Comparison of Budesonide Nasal Dry Powder with Fluticasone Propionate Aqueous Nasal Spray in Patients with Perennial Allergic Rhinitis. Rhinology 1995;33:18-21.
- 34. Mandl M, Nolop K, Lutsky BN, et al. Comparison of Once Daily Mometasone Furoate (Nasonex) and Fluticasone Propionate Aqueous Nasal Sprays for the Treatment of Perennial Rhinitis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 1997;79:370-378.
- 35. Small P, Houle PA, Day JH, et al. A Comparison of Triamcinolone Acetonide Nasal Aerosol Spray and Fluticasone Propionate Aqueous Solution Spray in the Treatment of Spring Allergic Rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1997;100:592-595.
- 36. Cervin A, Andersson M. Intranasal Steroids and Septum Perforation-An Overlooked Complication? Description of the Course of Events and A Discussion of the Causes. Rhinology 1998;36:128-132.
- 37. Morrow BH, Storey G, Jackson FA. Beclomethasone Dipropionate Aerosol in Treatment of Perennial and Seasonal Rhinitis: A Review of Five Years' Experience. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1977;4:2835
- Minshall E, Ghaffar O, Cameron L, et al. Assessment by Nasal Biopsy of Long-Term Use of Mometasone Furoate Aqueous Nasal Spray (Nasonex) in the Treatment of Perennial Rhinitis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1998;118:648-654.
- 39. Lipworth BJ, Secki JR. Measures for Detecting Systemic Bioactivity with Inhaled and Intranasal Corticosteroids. Thorax 1997, May; 52(5):476-482.
- 40. Wilson AM, McFarlane LC, Lipworth BJ. Effects of Repeated Once Daily Dosing of Three Intranasal Corticosteroids on Basal and Dynamic Measures of Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal-Axis Activity. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1998;101:470-474.
- 41. Wilson AM, Sims EJ, McFarlane LC, et al. Effects of Intranasal Corticosteroids on Adrenal, Bone, and Blood Markers of Systemic Activity in Allergic Rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1998;102:598-604.
- 42. Knutsson U, Stierna, P, Marcus C, et al. Effects of Intranasal Glucocorticoids on Endogenous Glucocorticoid Peripheral and Central Function. J Endocrinol 1995;144:301-310.
- 43. Nayak AS, Ellis MH, Gross GN, et al. The Effects of Triamcinolone Acetonide Aqueous Nasal Spray on Adrenocortical Function in Children with Allergic Rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1998;101:157-162.
- 44. Wihl JA, Andersson KE, Johansson SA. Systemic Effects of Two Nasally Administered Glucocorticoids. Allergy 1997;52:620-626.
- 45. Brannan MD, Herron JM, Reidenberg P, et al. Lack of Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis Suppression with Once-Daily or Twice-Daily Beclomethasone Dipropionate Aqueous Nasal Spray Administered to Patients with Allergic Rhinitis. Clin Ther 1995;17:637-647.
- 46. Howland WC 3rd, Dockhorn R, Gillman S, et al. A Comparison of Effects of Triamcinolone Acetonide Aqueous Nasal Spray, Oral Prednisone, and Placebo on Adrenocortical Function in Male Patients with Allergic Rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1996;98:1, 32-38.
- 47. Brannan MD, Herron JM, Affrime MB. Safety and Tolerability of Once-Daily Mometasone Furoate Aqueous Nasal Spray in Children. Clin Ther 1997;19:6, 1330-1339.

- 48. Skoner DP, Rachelefsky GS, Meltzer EO, et al. Detection of Growth Suppression in Children During Treatment with Intranasal Beclomethasone Dipropionate. Pediatrics 2000;105:2, E23:1-7.
- 49. Shenkel EJ, Skoner DP, Bronsky EA, et al. Absence of Growth Retardation in Children with Perennial Allergic Rhinitis After One Year of Treatment with Mometasone Furoate Aqueous Nasal Spray. Pediatrics 2000;105:2, 1-7.
- Martinati LC, Sette L, Chiocca E, et al. Effect of Beclomethasone Dipropionate Nasal Aerosol on Serum Markers of Bone Metabolism in Children with Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis. Clinical and Experimental Allergy 1993;23:986-991.
- 51. Wolthers OD, Pedersen S. Knenomometric Assessment of Systemic Activity of Once Daily Intranasal Dry-Powder Budesonide in Children. Allergy 1994;49:96-99.
- 52. Wolthers OD, Pedersen S. Short-Term Growth in Children with Allergic Rhinitis Treated with Oral Antihistamine, Depot and Intranasal Glucocorticoids. Acta Paediatr 1993;82:8:635-640.
- 53. Agertoft L, Pedersen S. Short-Term Lower Leg Growth Rate in Children with Rhinitis Treated with Intranasal Mometasone Furoate and Budesonide. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1999;104-948-952.
- 54. LaForce CF, Dockhorn RJ, Findlay SR, et al. Fluticasone Propionate: An Effective Alternative Treatment for Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis in Adults and Adolescents. J Fam Pract 1994;38:145-152
- 55. Selner JC, Weber RW, Richmond GW, et al. Onset of Action of Aqueous Beclomethasone Dipropionate Nasal Spray in Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis. Clin Ther 1995;17:1099-1109.
- 56. Day JH, Buckeridge DL, Clark RH, et al. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Antigen Delivery Study of the Onset of Action of Aerosolized Triamcinolone Acetonide Nasal Spray in Subjects with Ragweek-Induced Allergic Rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1996;97:1050-1057.
- 57. Davies RJ, Nelson HS. Once-Daily Mometasone Furoate Nasal Spray: Efficacy and Safety of a New Intranasal Glucocorticoid for Allergic Rhinitis. Clin Ther 1997;19:27-38.
- Day JH, Briscoe MP, Rafeiro E, et al. Onset of Action of Intranasal Budesonide (Rhinocort Aqua) in Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis Studied in a Controlled Exposure Model. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2000;105:489-494.
- Juniper EF, Guyatt GH, Byrne PM, et al. Aqueous Beclomethasone Dipropionate Nasal Spray: Regular versus As Required Use in the Treatment of Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1990;86:380-386
- 60. Juniper EF, Guyatt GH, Archer B, et al. Aqueous Beclomethasone Dipropionate in the Treatment of Ragweed Pollen-Induced Rhinitis: Further Exploration of As Needed Use. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1993;92:66-72.
- 61. Tkachyk SJ. New Treatments for Allergic Rhinitis. Can Fam Physician 1999;45:1255-1260.